

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,737	12/05/2003	Marina E. Kondakova	87241AEK	1342
Paul A. Leipol	7590 02/09/2 d	2007	EXAM	INER
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street			GARRETT, DAWN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			1774	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/729,737	KONDAKOVA ET A	L.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dawn Garrett	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da b.	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, e	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· -	oolog oldiinis.	•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
 non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4,6-16,18-22 and 24-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5,17 and 23</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☑ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:		Deun Gan	Stt

Dawn Garrett Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1774

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Although an efficiency-enhancing material in the light emitting layer was set forth in the original claim, the proposed claim is of a scope that has not previously been searched or considered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As stated in par. 3 above, the proposed amendment has not been entered, because it is of a scope not previously considered. Accordingly, the rejections of record are respectfully maintained. With regard to the 1.132 declaration, the declaration is not considered timely. Applicant did not set forth a good and sufficient reason why the affidavit was not earlier presented. At the top of page 2, applicant states they discovered a mistake in their instant specification after receipt of the final rejection. The affidavit was not prompted by any issue first raised by the examiner in the final rejection, but rather a discovery made by applicant about their specification. It is further noted that if there is a mistake in the triplet energy value presented in the instant specification, then the specification should be corrected by amendment.